

2017 SCHOOL PROFILES

Office of Educational Quality and Accountability 840 Research Parkway, Suite 455, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104 (405) 522-5399 Fax: (405) 525-0373

Web: http://www.EdProfiles.info

PEARY ELEMENTARY (EC-6)

TULSA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

10818 East 17th Street, Tulsa, OK 74128 Telephone: (918) 925-1520

72-1001-351

Community Characteristics

Community Group	About this District: TULSA			
A2	Square Miles Covered by District:177Number of School Sites in District:78Telephone:(918)746-68003027 S New HavenTulsa, OK 74114		County: TULSA	
Socioeconomic Data	School	District	Community Group Average	State Average
Ethnic Makeup Based upon Fall Enrollment:				
Caucasian	13.8%	25.2%	19.6%	49.4%
Black	18.3%	25.2%	24.1%	8.8%

Asian 4.3% 1.9% 2.1%	2.3%
Asian 4.5% 1.9% 2.1%	
Hispanic 50.9% 32.8% 43.2%	16.8%
Native American 2.4% 5.5% 4.1%	13.9%
Two or More Races 10.2% 9.3% 6.9%	8.8%
Average Property Valuation per Student (12/2016) DNS \$63,598 \$57,714 \$	49,471
Students Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch81.4%80.0%81.8%	62.7%

U.S. Census Data (American Community Survey 2012-2016 5-Year Estimate)

7,555 24.6%
24.6%
65.2%
\$65,458
\$48,038
16.5%
6.0%
12.7%
31.6%
23.7%
7.4%
24.5%

Preparation, Motivation & Parental Support

KG-3rd Graders Receiving Reading Remediation	54.0%	49.5%	49.2%	37.9%
Average Number of Days Absent per Student (based on 175 school days)	9.8	13.2	12.6	9.6
Mobility Rate (Incoming Students)	16.6%	29.3%	17.6%	10.0%
Student Suspension Ratio: (Higher number is better.)				
There was 1 suspension (of 10 days or less) for every students.	41.2	6.7	7.2	15.4
There was 1 suspension (of more than 10 days) for every students.	371.0	155.7	207.5	183.2
Parents Attending Parent/Teacher Conference	93%	70%	67%	73%
Patrons' Volunteer Hours per Student	4.4	5.9	4.1	3.1

72-I001-351

2016-17 School Educational Process

School	District	Community Group Average	State Average
371	38,625	39,216	1,279
369	37,932	38,661	1,267
DNS	10.8%	9.8%	14.5%
42.9%	19.1%	26.3%	7.1%
14.8%	17.2%	15.7%	15.8%
21.5	1,997.0	2,017.0	67.8
\$47,640	\$45,839	\$45,180	\$45,010
10.5%	28.0%	29.5%	25.6%
14.2	10.7	10.5	12.4
4.0	328.1	332.1	8.2
1.0	124.0	95.1	2.9
1.6	244.6	253.7	6.3
1.0	211.8	198.3	6.4
25.5	11.0	11.8	11.8
\$80,590	\$85,318	\$79,444	\$79,629
	371 369 DNS 42.9% 14.8% 21.5 \$47,640 10.5% 14.2 4.0 1.0 1.6 1.0 25.5	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SchoolDistrictGroup Average37138,62539,21636937,93238,661DNS10.8%9.8%42.9%19.1%26.3%14.8%17.2%15.7%21.51,997.02,017.0\$47,640\$45,839\$45,18010.5%28.0%29.5%14.210.710.54.0328.1332.11.0124.095.11.6244.6253.71.0211.8198.325.511.011.8

High School Curriculum (Only for HS with 12th Grade)

% of Juniors & Seniors Taking Career-Tech Offered Courses	DNS	45.5%	64.8%	47.0%
% of HS Graduates Completing Regents' College-Bound Curriculum	DNS	60.7%	72.4%	79.8%
Average HS Course Offerings by Site				
Non-electives:				
Fine Arts	DNS	19.7	17.2	8.1
Science	DNS	7.6	7.5	6.2
Mathematics	DNS	7.0	6.6	5.7
Computer Education	DNS	3.9	4.5	3.1
Social Studies	DNS	7.5	8.7	6.8
English Language Arts (ELA)	DNS	5.7	5.9	5.2
World Languages	DNS	6.8	5.5	2.4
Electives	DNS	14.6	16.8	15.5
Total Number of Courses Offered	DNS	72.8	72.6	52.9

Sources of District Revenues (All Funds)

District	48.4%	42.9%	40.5%
County	2.6%	3.1%	2.7%
State Dedicated	5.5%	6.1%	7.3%
State Appropriated	30.5%	33.5%	38.2%
Federal	12.9%	14.4%	11.3%

District Expenditures (Bond Funds Excluded)


	Dis	District		Community Group		State	
	%	\$/ADM	%	\$/ADM	%	\$/ADM	
Instruction	49.2%	\$4,256	50.8%	\$4,312	53.6%	\$4,105	
Instructional Support	5.9%	\$510	6.0%	\$508	3.7%	\$280	
Student Support	7.9%	\$683	7.6%	\$641	7.0%	\$539	
School Administration	6.3%	\$546	6.0%	\$509	5.8%	\$443	
District Administration	1.6%	\$135	1.3%	\$113	3.0%	\$232	
District Support	20.2%	\$1,751	19.3%	\$1,634	17.6%	\$1,350	
Other	9.0%	\$778	9.1%	\$771	9.3%	\$710	
Total		\$8,659		\$8,488		\$7,658	
Debt Service in Addition to Above		\$1,759		\$1,399		\$947	

2016-17 Student Performance (Regular Education Students, Full Academic Year at This Site)



The state of Oklahoma adopted much higher performance standards in 2017. The test results are therefore not comparable to those from previous years.

% of Students Scoring Proficient and Above by Grade and Subject



2016-17 Student Performance (All Students)

